

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/069,823		Applicant(s) GRAF ET AL.	
	Examiner GREG BENGZON		Art Unit 2444	

All Participants:

(1) GREG BENGZON.

(2) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 April 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Michael Cameron, Reg.No. 50298.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
Claims 74, 81.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Upon authorization from PE Kang Examiner Bengzon proposed claim amendments incorporating limitations in Claim 74 to all independent claims and including claim language to overcome USC 112 antecedence and USC 101 issues. Atty. Cameron has replied with claim amendments as discussed and further granted authorization for examiners amendment. .